

SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet		
I85 at I-385 Wall Improvement		
Tuesday, August 6, 2024		
Crowder		
SCDOT Design-Build		
Preliminary Responsiveness and Responsibility	Pass/Fail	Comments
Were the Proposals submitted in accordance with the Milestone Schedule?	Pass	
Is a Technical Proposal Narrative provided?	Pass	
Are Conceptual Plans provided?	Pass	
Is Proposer still considered responsible?	Pass	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW	
Responsiveness	Yes/No	Comments
Is the Stipend Acknowledgement Form provided?	Yes	
Is the Stipend Agreement provided?	Yes	
Is the EEO Certificate provided?	Yes	
Is the Non-Collusion Certificate provided?	Yes	
Is the Addendum Receipt provided?	Yes	
Is the Org Chart and Availability of Key Individuals documents provided?	Yes	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW	
Technical Proposal Narrative	Reason	Comments
1. Describe Project Delivery and Approach by discussing/providing the following:		
a. Identify the proposed schedule for implementing the Project. Include the sequence of construction. Describe methods that will allow a reduction in the overall construction scheduled for the Project. As part of the Technical Proposal Appendices, provide Critical Path Method (CPM) Schedule, graphically, that shows the expected plan.		Met the requirements of the RFP.
b. Describe Team's approach for maintaining traffic while avoiding and minimizing impacts to the traveling public.		Met the requirements of the RFP.
c. Describe the proposed design submittal process and include a chart showing anticipated deliverables in sequence that will allow SCDOT to conduct efficient and complete reviews. Include discussion of how any proposed Project phasing/segmentation will be addressed in the design submittal and review process. Dates do not need to be included in the chart showing anticipated deliverables.		Met the requirements of the RFP.



<div>SCDOT Design-Build</div>	SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet	
	I85 at I-385 Wall Improvement	
	Tuesday, August 6, 2024	
d. Describe the proposed approach to Quality Control and understanding of the Quality Assurance Program. Discuss the roles of the Proposer and SCDOT for all aspects of construction of the Project. Discuss compliance with required standards, testing laboratories, mix designs and material certifications processes.		Met the requirements of the RFP.
e. Discuss the proposed approach to addressing any unique characteristics of the Project and mitigating any risk items identified by the Proposer.		Met the requirements of the RFP.
	Adjectival Score	
Overall Adjectival Score:	A	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW	
Technical Proposal Appendices	Reason	Comments
3. Appendix A.1: Provide Conceptual Roadway Plans. The intent of scoring Proposer’s conceptual roadway plans is for SCDOT to understand that the proposer clearly demonstrates its understanding of requirements of the RFP and the Team’s approach to meet those requirements. The quality of the plans will be reviewed and scored for compliance with RFP requirements, including Formal ATC’s authorized for inclusion in the proposal, if any, rather than plan development/preparation conformance. The following shall be provided.		

SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet		
I85 at I-385 Wall Improvement		
Tuesday, August 6, 2024		
SCDOT Design-Build a. Plan view for project limits at each wall location. • Taper lengths • Construction limits • Control of Access Limits (mainline and interchange) • Existing Right of Way • Lane and shoulder widths • Clear zone limits • Horizontal clearance at obstructions (any critical locations) • Roadside barriers (location and type) • Limits of retaining walls • Material Staging and Laydown Areas • Horizontal wall alignment • Existing drainage features b. Typical section for each wall location. c. Profile view showing the appearance of each wall location. (11"x17" plan sheets) d. Cross sections only at wall 21 at 25 foot intervals. (11"x17" plan sheets)		Met the requirements of the RFP.
	Omitted Items	New concrete V-ditch was not shown on top of wall 16.
		Met the requirements of the RFP.
		Met the requirements of the RFP.
	Adjectival Score	
Overall Adjectival Score:	B	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW	
Technical Proposal Appendices	Reason	Comments
4. Provide Conceptual Maintenance of Traffic Plans		
Appendix A.2: Provide Conceptual Maintenance of Traffic Plans. The plans shall depict the number of construction stages and a staging narrative within those plans to include duration of each stage. The plans may be color coded and can be provided on roll plots at a minimum scale of 1" = 200' on 36" width x 8' length sheets for the entire projects limits including interchanges, as applicable. Plan scale and detail for critical areas shall be appropriate for demonstrating transitions, directional flow, and all items below.		Met the requirements of the RFP.
a. Plan for areas deemed critical by the design team for staging concerns. These areas may require cross sections for more detail.		Met the requirements of the RFP.
b. Plan for maintaining ramp traffic.		Met the requirements of the RFP.
c. Plan for maintaining positive temporary drainage during stages.		Met the requirements of the RFP.



SCDOT Design-Build	SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet	
	I85 at I-385 Wall Improvement	
	Tuesday, August 6, 2024	
d. Plan for notifying the traveling public of upcoming stages.		Met the requirements of the RFP.
	Adjectival Score	
Overall Adjectival Score:	A	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW	
Innovation and Added Value:	Comments	
a. Expedited Schedule		
b. Minimizing impacts to traffic including, but not limited to, the following: • Traffic shifts • Temporary lane closures • Construction Stages • Traffic impacts to crossing routes	• Reduced impacts to traveling public by reducing impact to traffic of 143 days at the wall locations.	
Additional Items:	• Reduce risk of fit-up issues during construction that can cause significant delays. • Reduce risk of discovering deviations from proposed grading/slope paving during construction that could cause significant delays. • Reduces the risk of damage to existing pavement that is not being replaced as part of the project scope of work.	
	Quality Credit Points	
Overall Quality Credit Score:	17.9	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW	



SCDOT Design-Build	SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet
	I85 at I-385 Wall Improvement Tuesday, August 6, 2024
	I certify that the scores shown on this sheet(s) accurately reflect the actions of the Committee on August 6, 2024 and that the evaluation was done in accordance with the RFP.
	Brad Reynolds Chairperson _____
	Brad Reynolds Voting Member _____
	John Caver Voting Member _____
	Maddy Barbian Voting Member _____
	Carolyn Fisher Voting Member _____
	Kimberly Bishop Voting Member* _____
	Carmen Wright Procurement Officer _____
	Brian Gambrell Legal _____

