

NON-CONFIDENTIAL DESIGN-BUILD QUESTIONS
Carolina Crossroads Phase 3D – I-26/I-126 System Interchange
Project ID P043326 - Lexington and Richland Counties

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

DATE QUESTIONS RECEIVED: 9/2/2026 & 9/16/2026

TEAMS						SCDOT	
Question No.	Category	Section	Page / Doc No.	Question/Comment	Discipline	Response	Explanation
1	RFQ	5.2.4	pdf 24 of 29	Will SCDOT allow 10 pt Arial font be used for resumes, charts, figures, and other illustrative information?	PM	No_Revision	No, font remains 11 point font in accordance with Section 5.2.4 under Type and Spacing Requirements.
2	RFQ	5.2.4	pdf 24 of 29	Will SCDOT allow text on charts, figures, and other illustrative information to be single spaced?	PM	Revision	No, tables and illustrative information were added to the the required items to be double spaced in Section 5.2.4 under Type and Spacing Requirements.
3		2	1 of 29	Section 2.2.1 lists Right of Way services and acquisitions as part of the design scope of work; however section 2.2.7 states "SCDOT will perform all right of way services". Please clarify the Design Builder's scope.	PM	Revision	Removed from Section 2.2.1. SCDOT will perform all Right of Way Acquisition Services for the project.
4		3	11 of 29	Section 3.3.3 prompts proposers to describe the approach to Right of Way and describe how your team will ensure Right of Way is successfully secured. If SCDOT will perform all right of way services as stated in Section 2.2.7, please consider removing these narrative prompts.	PM	Revision	Removed from Section 3.3.3. SCDOT will perform all Right of Way Acquisition Services for the project.
5		2	7 of 29	Section 2.8.5 lists items that are currently available on the SCDOT Design Build website. Traffic data files are listed in the RFQ but not currently posted to SCDOT's Design Build website for the project.	PM	Revision	Updated files will be provided on the Website.
6		5	24 of 29	Section 5.2.4 - Please provide clarification on spacing requirements within charts and figures. Is double spacing required between distinct bullets contained within the same cell of a table/chart?	PM	Revision	Yes, tables and illustrative information were added to the the required items to be double spaced in Section 5.2.4 under Type and Spacing Requirements.
7		5	24 of 29	Section 5.2.4 - Please provide clarification on the use of Standard Forms. Can text color or boldness be adjusted within standard forms? Are company logos and project photos permitted within standard forms to enhance appearance?	PM	Revision	Clarification was added to Section 5.2.4 under Standard Forms. No, text color and boldness cannot be modified. Yes, company logos and project photos can be provided as long as it does not modify the format of the forms.
8		5	24 of 29	Section 5.2.4 - The latest versions of the standard forms available on SCDOT's Design Build Website contain fonts that are inconsistent in type and size with the requirements provided in Section 5.2.4. Please clarify if the font size and type in forms should be adjusted for compliance with Type and Spacing Requirements provided.	PM	Revision	Font size and type shall be retained in forms. Clarification added to Section 5.2.4 under Standard Forms.



9		3	8 of 29	Section 3.1.5 states "Two submittals per team will be accepted, one original and one redacted..." however, section 5.1.2 states "Only one completed submittal per team will be accepted".	PM	Revision	Section 3.1.5 revised to clarify that the one submittal includes a SOQ and Redacted SOQ.
10		3	11 of 29	Section 3.3.3 quantifies 10 points for Project Resources, Strategies, and Execution however the scoring table provided in Section 4.2 allocates 5 points for Project Resources, Strategies, and Execution. Please clarify.	PM	Revision	Table in Section 4.2 revised to remove Quality Assurance Program and Project, Resources, Strategies, and Execution will have 10 points to match Section 3.3.3.
11		3	11 of 29	Should bullets 3 and 4 from Section 3.3.3 be reassigned to a new Section 3.3.4 (Quality Assurance Program) to align with the scoring table provided in Section 4.2?	PM	Revision	Table in Section 4.2 revised to remove Quality Assurance Program and Project, Resources, Strategies, and Execution will have 10 points to match Section 3.3.3.
12		2	1 of 29	Section 2.2.1 of the RFQ indicates "Complex Bridge Independent Peer Review" will be required. This was removed from the former CCR Phase 3 project and the CCR Phase 3C project. Including IPR would add unnecessary cost and extend the project schedule. Would the Department consider removing this component from Phase 3D?	PM	No_Revision	This will remain in the Phase 3D project.
13	RFP	3	13	In 3.4.5, does SCDOT intend to define "full time employee", or will SCDOT accept each firm's internal company policy definition?	PM	Revision	Bullet was revised.
14	RFP	2	7	In 2.8.5, "traffic data files" are mentioned. Only the DRAFT IMR has been provided. Can SCDOT provide the traffic data files?	PM	Revision	Updated files will be provided on the Website.
15	RFP	5	24	Please verify if text contained within an org chart is required to be double spaced.	PM	No_Revision	Yes, Charts are included in the required items to be double spaced in Section 5.2.4 under Type and Spacing Requirements.



16	RFP	5	24	Please verify if text contained within a table is required to be double spaced.	PM	Revision	Yes, tables were added to the required items to be double spaced. Revision provided in the Section 5.2.4 under Type and Spacing Requirements.
17	RFP	2	1	If a firm is retained to perform the Complex Bridge Independent Peer Review (IPR), would that firm be restricted from providing any other services on the Project? If so, please clarify which project roles or scope elements would be considered incompatible with serving as the IPR.	PM	No_Revision	The independent peer reviewer cannot provide any other services for the DB team on this project. The RFP will be reviewed and clarified to reflect this.
18		3.1.6	pdf 11 of 31	RFQ States: "A Proposer will be deemed non-responsive if any required information in Sections 3.3 through 3.7 is not provided in the SOQ by the due date." Could SCDOT please clarify if a Proposer who provides a resume for a QCM candidate who does not possess both the PE license and 10 years of experience will be deemed non-responsive (disqualified), or if the candidate's specific qualifications will simply result in a reduction of the 5 potential points during evaluation?	PM	Revision	Yes, a Propser who provides a resume for a QCM canidate who does not have the ability to pocesss a PE license and 10 years of experience will be deemed non-responsive. A revision to Section 3.4.6 was updated to add back in that the PE license can be obtained prior to Contract Execution.

