

**NON-CONFIDENTIAL DESIGN-BUILD QUESTIONS
Bridge Package 22**

Contract ID 8876710 - Berkeley, Colleton, Dorchester, Darlington, Florence, Georgetown, Horry, Marion, Williamsburg Counties

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

Date Received: 2/26/2026

TEAMS					SCDOT	
Question No.	Category	Section	Page / Doc No.	Question/Comment	Response	Explanation
1	RFP	3	14	3.5.2 of the RFQ requires proposers to report contract claims exceeding \$250,000 on any project on which they were a Lead Contractor or a member of a joint venture. The term "contract claim" is not clearly defined. Can SCDOT whether this requirement is referring to claims associated with litigation or arbitration, or if it simply means claims for time or money.	No_Revision	Any claims for time or money.
2	RFP	3	14	3.5.2 of the RFQ requires proposers to disclose any design-build projects or projects of similar scope that have been delayed more than 30 days such that liquidated damages were assessed. Should this disclosure be limited to instances where liquidated damages were actually paid?	No_Revision	No, this is for LDs assessed regardless of whether or not they were paid.
3	RFP	6	22	We request consideration of increasing the stipend to \$250,000 in recognition of the expanded scope of this bridge package.	Revision	Stipend will be revised to \$210,000.
4	RFP	2	5	We respectfully request a two-week extension to the SOQ submission deadline.	No_Revision	No extension to the SOQ submission will be allowed.
5	RFP	3	11	In 3.4.5, does SCDOT intend to define "full time employee", or will SCDOT accept each firm's internal company policy definition?	Revision	The Lead Design Engineer must be employed directly by the Lead Design Firm and may not perform services as a subcontractor, independent contractor, or consultant.
6	RFQ	5.2.2		Section 5.2.2 requires the SOQ Narrative to not exceed 10 pages. Can the page limit be increased to 12 pages to cover the large size of team and the complexity of the project execution methods?	No_Revision	No increase to the page limit will be allowed.
7	RFQ			Project Manager requirement is contradictory. In section 3.4.4 the RFQ states "the Project Manager will be considered unavailable for other SCDOT Design-Build procurements if no Assistant Manager is provided." The next bullet point states "the Project Manager shall be dedicated solely to managing this Project". The second phrase doesn't give any leeway if a APM is provided per the first bullet point. Please clarify.	Revision	RFQ will be revised to add "If No Assitant Manager is Provided."



8	RFQ			Will SCDOT consider increasing the stipend from \$150,000 to \$285,000 to reflect the significant level of effort required during the RFP phase? The high number of full volume criteria bridges requiring seismic design and additional environmental mitigation requirements necessities more than the typical design investigations and require an added level of effort. Additionally there is a increased effort on utilities especially at the two sites near pump stations.	Revision	Stipend will be revised to \$210,000.
9	RFP		N/A	Span lengths and bent placements were included in the concept bridge plans. What level of hydraulic design has been completed by SCDOT to obtain these preliminary spans, structure depths, bent locations, etc.?	No_Revision	Preliminary hydraulic modelling has been completed on all 18 bridges. Some were developed with either full HDB 2019-4 bridge criteria or 2024 PCDM-11 Low Volume hydraulic criteria, but RFP criteria will be based on 2026 Low Impact criteria for certain sites that qualify. Hydro memos and models associated with the conceptual bridge plans will be posted to PIP with or before RFP for Industry Review.

