

NON-CONFIDENTIAL DESIGN-BUILD QUESTIONS
Bridge Package 16 - Contract ID 3962240 - Pickens County

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

Date Received: 1/9/2023

Question No.	Category	Section	Page / Doc No.	Question/Comment	SCDOT	
					Response	Explanation
1	RFQ	2.2.2	3 of RFP	Paragraphs 2.2.2 and 2.2.3 of the RFQ outline a level of Quality Control Inspection and Construction Engineering Inspection. Is it the intent of SCDOT that the Contractor's Quality Control program be designed and implemented to satisfy the Contractors day-to-day need to control his operation to the degree he fills is necessary to deliver a project which will meet SCDOT's expectation for quality and not fulfill any of SCDOT's responsibility to FHWA for testing, inspection, and acceptance?	No_Revision	It is SCDOT's intent that the contractor's quality control program be sufficient to monitor and provide feedback on the contractor's day-to-day operations such that the contractor delivers SCDOT a quality product and does not impose undue burden on SCDOT's QA and IA staff resulting from a lack of QC efforts. QC results will not be used to supplement or fulfill QA/IA requirements.
2	RFQ		8 of RFP	Reference section 2.8.5 of the RFQ. The survey data and conceptual roadway plans that were provided reference a bridge on SC 183 over Cannon Creek, while the conceptual bridge plans and other data reference a bridge on SC 183 over Gregory Creek. Can this be clarified which bridge is intended?	Revision	SC 183 bridge over Gregory Creek is correct. Roadway conceptual plans will be revised to clarify project locations for each bridge site.

