

South Carolina Department of Transportation

South Carolina
Department of Transportation

On Behalf of the Federal Highway Administration - South Carolina Division Office

PROCESSING FORM FOR PROGRAMMATIC CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS NON MAJOR FEDERAL ACTIONS

State II	P038250	Fed Project #		Route	S-400	County	Marlboro		
Part 1 - Project Description									
Include t	he Project Name/	Description							
Damage occurred to the S-400 (Level Green Church Road) bridge over Herndon Creek in Marlboro County during the 2018 Hurricane Florence storm event (see attached inspection report). The proposed repair involves the construction of a new bridge (60' span) on the same alignment.									
	The proposed project was determined to have 1) no effect to historic properties 2) no effect to threatened and endangered species 3) minimal, if any, impact to jurisdictional wetlands. USACE permit will be the responsibility of SCDOT.								
Part 2 - PCE Type									
	eference Append	-			17 that best fits the letailed description	•	-	•	
23 CFR 7	23 CFR 771.117(c) Bridge rehabilitation, reconstruction, or replacement or railroad crossing improvements								
23 CFR 7	23 CFR 771.117(d)								
Part 3 - Thresholds									
(as outlin of the bel to FHWA-	ed in the PCE Agree ow criteria, SCDOT v	ment between F will consult with F eference Part 4 (HWA-SC and SCD0 -HWA-SC to deter	OT). Place mine the a	ng conditions must k a "X" in the appropria ppropriate level of N ection IV of the PCE	ate box bel EPA docum	ow. If the a nentation re	nswer is "Yes" to ar equired and forwar	
1. I	nvolves any unusua	l circumstances a	s described in *23	CFR Part 7	71.117(b)		Yes	☐ No	
	he acquisition of m	ore than * <u>minor a</u>	amounts of tempo	orary or pe	manent strips		Yes	☐ No	

	Part 3 - Thresholds Continued		
3.	Involves acquisitions that result in residential or non-residential displacements	☐ Yes	⊠ No
4.	Results in capacity expansion of a roadway by adding through lanes	☐ Yes	⊠ No
5.	Involves construction that would result in *major traffic disruptions	☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Involves *changes in access control requiring FHWA approval	☐ Yes	⊠ No
7.	An adverse effect determination under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
8.	Use of Section 4(f) property that cannot be documented with a FHWA <i>de minimis</i> determination or a programmatic Section 4(f) other than the programmatic evaluation for the use of historic bridges	☐ Yes	⊠ No
9.	Any use of a Section 6(f) property	Yes	⊠ No
10.	Requires an Individual USACE 404 Permit	☐ Yes	⊠ No
11.	Requires an Individual U.S. Coast Guard Permit.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
12.	Work encroaching in a regulatory floodway, adversely affecting the base floodplain (100 yr.) pursuant to E.O. 11988 and 23 CFR Part 650 Subpart A	☐ Yes	⊠ No
13.	Construction in, across, or adjacent to a river designated as a National Wild and Scenic River	☐ Yes	⊠ No
14.	Involves an increase of 15 dBA or greater on any noise receptor or abatement measures are found to be feasible and reasonable due to noise impacts	☐ Yes	⊠ No
15.	May affect and is likely to adversely affect a Federally listed species or designated critical habitat or projects with impacts subject to the BGEPA	☐ Yes	⊠ No
16.	Involves acquisition of land for hardship, protective purposes, or early acquisition	☐ Yes	⊠ No
17.	Does not meet the latest Conformity Determination for air quality non-attainment areas (if applicable).	☐ Yes	⊠ No
18.	Any known or potential <u>major</u> hazardous waste sites within the right-of-way.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
19.	Is not included in or is inconsistent with the STIP and/or TIP	☐ Yes	⊠ No

Part 3 Continued - Additional criteria to be completed for disposal of excess righ	t-of-way F	PCE			
I. Is the parcel part of a SCDOT environmental mitigation effort or could it be used for environmental mitigation?	☐ Yes	⊠ No			
2. Is there a formal plan to use this parcel for a future transportation project (is it part of an approved LRTP)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No			
Part 4 - Threshold Definitions					
Unusual Circumstances (23 CFR Part 771.117) - Unusual circumstances are defined as:					
 a. Significant environmental impacts; b. Substantial controversy on environmental grounds; c. Significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the DOT ACT or Section 106 of the National Histor d. Inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement, or administrative determination relating to t of the action. 	ic Preservatio he environme	n Act; or ental aspects			
Minor Amount of Right-of-Way (ROW):					
A minor amount of ROW is defined as less than 3 acres per linear mile for linear projects or less than 10 acres of in projects (eg: intersections, bridges), and no removal of major property improvements. Examples of major improvemential and business structures, or the removal of other features which would change the functional utility of of minor improvements, such as fencing, landscaping, sprinkler systems, and mailboxes would be allowed.	vements inclu	ıde			
Major Traffic Disruptions:					
A major traffic disruption is defined as an action that would result in: a) adverse effects to through-traffic businesses or schools, b) substantial change in environmental impacts, or c) public controversy associated with the use of the temporary road, detour, or ramp closure. Changes in Access Control:					
Requires approval from FHWA for changes in access control on the Interstate system (eg: Interchange Modification Justification Reports).	on Reports or	Interchange			
Additional Comments if Needed:					
Relevant field studies and environmental reviews have been completed to determine that the project					
forth in the Programmatic Categorical Exclusion Agreement signed by FHWA-SC and SCDOT. It is unde additions/deletions to the project may void environmentally processing the project as presently classife engineering changes must be bought to the attention of SCDOT Environmental Services Office immed form is included in the project file and one (1) copy has been provided to FHWA.	fied; consequ	uently, any			
Prepared By: Chad C. Long Date Nov	19, 2018				
Primavera: Yes No P2S Date: Does the project contain commitments?: (if Yes attach to form	i) 🗵 Yes	□ No			

Form Updated: 7-28-2016



Date: 11/19/2018 NEPA ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS FORM Project ID: P038250 County: Marlboro District : District 5 Doc Type: PCE 4 Commitments: Project Name: S-400 over Herndon Branch Bridge Replacement The Environmental Commitment Contractor Responsible measures listed below are to be included in the contract and must be implemented. It is the responsibility of the Program Manager to make sure the Environmental Commitment SCDOT Responsible measures are adhered to. If there are questions regarding the commitments listed please contact: CONTACT NAME: Chad Long **PHONE #:** 7 **ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS FOR THE PROJECT Water Quality NEPA Doc Ref:** SCDOT Responsibility: The contractor will be required to minimize possible water quality impacts through implementation of BMPs, reflecting policies contained in 23 CFR 650B and the Department's Supplemental Specification on Erosion Control Measures (latest edition) and Supplemental Technical Specifications on Seeding (latest edition). Other measures including seeding, silt fences, sediment basins, etc. as appropriate will be implemented during construction to minimize impacts to water quality. Non-Standard Commitment **NEPA Doc Ref:** Responsibility: CONTRACTOR Migratory Birds Migratory Bird Treaty Act Special Provision The federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 16 USC § 703-711, states that it is unlawful to pursue, hunt, take, capture or kill; attempt to take, capture or kill; possess, offer to or sell, barter, purchase, deliver or cause to be shipped, exported, imported, transported, carried or received any migratory bird, part, nest, egg or product, manufactured or not. The South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT) will comply with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 to prevent the unlawful taking or killing of migratory birds and the unauthorized destruction of their active nests. Active nests are defined as nests that contain eggs and/or juvenile birds; the nest is considered active until all juvenile birds permanent **Cultural Resources NEPA Doc Ref:** Responsibility: CONTRACTOR The contractor and subcontractors must notify their workers to watch for the presence of any prehistoric or historic remains, including but not limited to arrowheads, pottery, ceramics, flakes, bones, graves, gravestones, or brick concentrations during the construction phase of the project, if any such remains are encountered, the Resident Construction Engineer (RCE) will be immediately notified and all work in the vicinity of the discovered materials and site work shall cease until the SCDOT Archaeologist directs otherwise.

Project ID:	P038250
	FU3023U

SCDOT NEPA ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS FORM



ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS FOR THE PROJECT

			•	
Stormwater	NEPA Doc Ref:	Page: XX Paragraph: XX	Responsibility:	SCDOT
Stormwater control measures, both during disturbance and/or constructed in the violenthe SCDOT's MS4 Permit. The selected complementation of construction best mas Supplemental Specifications on Seed and	cinity of 303(d), 7 ontractor would nagement practi	TMDL, ORW, tidal, and o be required to minimized ices, reflecting policies of	ther sensitive wa e potential storm contained in 23 (nters in accordance with nwater impacts through
	NEPA Doc Ref:	Page: XX Paragraph: XX	Responsibility:	
	NEPA Doc Ref:	Page: XX Paragraph: XX	Responsibility:	

Cultural Resources Project So	creening Form
File Number: PIN: 28104-1 Route: S-400 Project Name:	County: Marlboro
S-400 Over Herndon Branch Emergency Bridge Replacement	
Type 1: Resurfacing, installation of fencing, signs, pavement markings, traffic signals, passenger shelters, railroad warning devices, installation of	Project Type
rumble strips, and landscaping	2
Type 2: Bridge replacements on alignment, construction of bicycle/pedestrian facilities, and intersection improvements	
Type 3: Projects that do not fall into Type 1 and Type 2 categories (e.g. road widening)	

Comments


This project consists of an emergency bridge replacement along S-400 over Herndon Branch in Marlboro County. The APE extends for 700 feet on either side of the bridge and is 100 feet wide along the road and 200 feet wide at the bridge. Background review indicated that one previously recorded cultural resource is located at the west end of the project area (Site No. 2384 – a ca 1930s non-NRHP eligible house). A cultural resources survey of the APE was conducted on 11/1/2018. The survey consisted of shovel testing and surface inspection of exposed ground surface. The majority of the APE consists of slope, wetlands, and berms and did not require testing. A total of eight STPs were excavated. One cultural resource was recorded (38MBxxxx). This site is a 20th century historic artifact scatter located on the south side of S-400. The scatter appears to correspond to Site No. 2384, which is no longer standing, but the foundation and associated debris are still visible and are incorporated within the 38MBxxxx boundary. 38MBxxxx is not NRHP eligible, and no other cultural resources were found during the survey. No additional cultural resources investigations are recommended. No historic properties will be affected by this project.

Effect Determination: No Historic Properties Affected

*SHPO consultation is required for all Type 3 projects and any project with a No Adverse or Adverse Effect Determination.

This screening form was developed to satisfy documentation requirements for Type I and Type II projects under a Programmatic Agreement between the Federal Highway Administration, the South Carolina State Historic Preservation Office, the US Army Corps of Engineers, and the South Carolina Department of Transportation. For Type I and Type II projects that have no effect on historic properties, the completion of this screening form with supporting documentation (e.g. ArchSite Map) provides evidence of FHWA and SCDOT's compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Prepared by: Tracy Martin Review Date: 11/5/2018



Biological Survey of S-400 Bridge Replacements over Herndon Branch Marlboro County, S.C. November 15, 2018

Pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act a field survey was conducted on the proposed new right of way. The following list of threatened (T) and endangered (E) species was obtained from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service:

Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA)

Bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus)

Animals

Red-cockaded woodpecker (*Picoides borealis*) – E Shortnose sturgeon (*Acipenser brevirostrum*) - E Atlantic sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus*) - E

Plants

Canby's dropwort (Oxypolis canbyi) - E

At-Risk Species

Blueback herring (Alosa aestivalis)
Tri-colored bat (Perimyotis subflavus)
Boykin's lobelia (Lobelia boykinii)
Bay boneset (Eupatorium paludicola)
Monarch butterfly (Danaus plexippus)
Robust redhorse (Moxostoma robustum)

Methods

The project area was examined by GIS on October 29, 2018 and a site visit was conducted on November 13, 2018. Habitats surveyed were determined by each species' ecological requirements.

Results

The proposed project consists of replacing the S-400 Bridge over Herndon Branch. The project corridor consists predominantly of mature forested wetlands and agricultural fields. The palustrine forested wetlands are dominated by tree canopy species such as water oak (*Quercus nigra*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), swamp tupelo (*Nyssa biflora*), sweet gum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), privet (*Ligustrum spp*) and chinese wisteria (Wisteria sinensis). The highway right of way consists predominantly of regularly-maintained grasses, along with various herbaceous species.

According to the Heritage Trust database of endangered, threatened and rare species, there are no such species located in the vicinity of the project. The bald eagle nests near large bodies of water where it can fish. No large bodies of water are present in the project corridor and there is no habitat for the bald eagle. No bald eagles or signs of their nesting activities were observed in the project area. The red-cockaded woodpecker nests and forages in mature open pine forests. The project will not impact any potential nesting or foraging habitat for the red-cockaded woodpecker. The shortnose and Atlantic sturgeons are found in estuarine and nearshore waters of the Atlantic Ocean and the river systems that drain into it. Herndon Branch is a slow moving swamp system lacking a single defined stream channel and there is no riverine habitat in the project corridor that is suitable for the shortnose or Atlantic sturgeons.

Canby's dropwort is found in or near wetlands; however, the appropriate habitat was not present on the site visit. No endangered or threatened species were observed during the survey.

Based on lack of suitable habitat and/or no observations of the listed species during field surveys, results of the threatened and endangered species study indicate that the proposed action will have no effect upon any threatened or endangered species or critical habitats currently listed by the USFWS.

Erin Jenkins

November 15, 2018

Date: 10/30/2018

PERMIT DETERMINATION

FROM Erin Je	nkins	COM	PANY SCDO	Γ	
CONTACT INFO				insen@scdot.	org
SCDOT PROJEC					
TO Erin Jenkins	- RPG 2 Perm	its Coordinator	Ì		
Project Description	S-400 brid	lge replacemen	t		
Route or Road No	S-400 (Level 0	Green Church Rd)	County Marlb	oro	
CONST. PIN	OTHER	PINS or STRUC	CTURE #		
RESPONSE:					
It has been det	ermined that no	permits are requ	ired because:		
The following (Please che	. , ,	•	roject will need)		
USACE Pe	ermit (GP IP	401	JD	
OCRM Per	rmit (CAP C	ZC		
Navigable				G and/or USACE navigab the NEPA and Permitting	
Other N					
Water Classification	on: FW	Print	and attach the SC	DHEC water qualit	ty report
303(d) listo	ed 💽 1	no \bigcirc yes, for $*_{_}$			
TMDL dev	reloped Or	no yes, for *_	*List all that apply us	ing the SCDHEC abbrevi	ations
Comments:					
				,	
The determination	above was base	ed on the most re	cently available ir	nformation at the time	ne. This
		l is subject to cha		of the project is mo	dified.
			SCDOT/Consulta		



10/30/2018

Watershed and Water Quality Information

Genaral Information

Applicant Name:

Permit Type: MS4

Latitude: 34.6588

Longitude: -79.7289

MS4 Designation: Not in designated area

Monitoring Station: PD-015

Within Coastal Critical Area: NO

Water Classification (Provisional): FW

Waterbody Name: HERNDON BRANCH

Entered Waterbody Name:

Paramet																				
		N	H3N		Am	monia					FC			Feca	Coliforn	1				
		c	R	- 1	Chr	omium					FC	:в		Feca	l Coliforn	ı (Shellfis	sh)			
		c	_			per				-	BI	- I	- 1		oinverteb	•	0)			
		H			- 1	cury					TP	- 1	- 1	-	es) Phosp					
		N			Nic						TN		- 1	-	es) Nitrog					
		P	_		Lea							HLA	- 1	-	s) Chlore					
		Z	0		Zind		Oxygen				H	NTERO	- 1		ch) Enter ury (Fish					
		- 1	н	- 1	pH	SOIVEU	Oxygen				PC		- 1		(Fish)	,				
															, , ,					
npaired	a Statu	s (ac	wnst	ream s	ites)															
Station	NH3N	CR	CU	НG	NI	РВ	ZN	DO	PH	TURBIDITY	ECOI	LI FCB	₽	310	TP	TN	CHLA	ENTERO	HGF	P
PD-015	F	F	F	F	F	х	F	F	F	F	F	Α		х	х	х	х	х	N	Γ
F = Stan	dards	Fully	Suppo	rted		A =	Assess	ed at U	pstrea	m Station			T =	= Wit	hin TMD	L Appro	ved Wat	tershed		
N = Star	ndards	Not S	uppor	ted		X = 1	Parame	ter Not	Asses	sed at Statio	n									
								ng stai												_

Fish	Consun	nption	Adviso	ry

HGF

TMDL Information - TMDL Parameters to be addressed

In TMDL Watershed: No

TMDL Site:

TMDL Report No:

TMDL Parameter:

TMDL Document Link:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Charleston District Checklist for 2017 Nationwide Permit Review Nationwide Permit 3 - Maintenance (10/404)

SAC#:
Applicant Name: SCOOT
Waterway/Location: Horndon Branch, Marlboro
Project Name: 3-400 (Lex) Green Church Rd)
The purpose of this Nationwide Permit (NWP) checklist is to assist with determining if a proposed activity qualifies for use of this NWP. The checklist will also assist with determining when a Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) is be required, if a PCN is incomplete, and other actions that may be required during a PCN review.
Please complete Section I and all other applicable sections.
I. Regional Conditions
1. Will the proposed activity alter or temporarily occupy or use a USACE federally authorized Civil Works project (a "USACE" project") regulated by 33 U.S.C. 408?
☐ Yes* (PCN required) No
2. If the proposed activity requires permission from the Corps pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 408 because it will alter or temporarily or permanently occupy or use USACE federally authorized "USACE" project, has the Charleston District issued the section 408 permission to alter, occupy, or use the USACE project?
N/A Yes No (Activity cannot be authorized by a NWP until 408 permission issued)
3. Is the proposed activity located in or adjacent to an authorized Federal Navigation project? These Federal Navigation areas include Adams Creek, Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AIWW), Ashley River, Brookgreen Garden Canal, Calabash Creek, Charleston Harbor (including the Cooper River and Town Creek), Folly River, Georgetown Harbor (Winyah Bay, Sampit River, and Bypass Canal), Jeremy Creek, Little River Inlet, Murrells Inlet (Main Creek), Port Royal Harbor, Savannah River, Shem Creek (including Hog Island Channel & Mount Pleasant Channel), Shipyard Creek, Village Creek and the Wando River.
Yes* (PCN required, Corps PM will coordinate with CESAC-OP-N

4. If the proposed activity is located in or adjacent to an authorized Federal Navigation project, as listed in Regional Condition #18, does the PCN include project drawings that have the following information: a) location of the edges of the Federal channel; b) setback distances from the edge of the channel; c) the distance from watermost edge of the proposed structure or fill to the nearest edge of the channel and the Mean High and Mean Low water lines; and d) coordinates of both ends of the watermost edge of the proposed structure or fill (NAD 83 State Plane Coordinates in decimal degrees).
N/A Yes No (Incomplete PCN)
5. Is the proposed activity located in waters that are designated critical habitat under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act or waters that are proposed critical habitat? (Refer to the following National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Fisheries website for the most up-to-date information regarding Critical Habitat designations under the jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS): http://sero.nmfs.noaa.gov/protected resources/section 7/threatened endangered/)
Yes* (PCN required Corps PM to determine if coordination with NMFS PRD is necessary)
6. Is the proposed project located within a designated floodway within the FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA)?
Yes (The permittee must comply with with Regional Condition #14.)
7. Is the proposed project located within a designated FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA)?
Yes (The permittee must comply with with Regional Condition #15.)

associated with the proposed activity occur within or directly affecting Designated Critical Resource Waters, including wetlands adjacent to such waters? (Note: The ACE Basin National Estuarine Research Reserve and the North Inlet Winyah Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve are Designated Critical Resource Waters.)
N/A Yes* (PCN required) No
9. Does the proposed activity comply with the Regional Conditions #1-#9?
Yes No (Activity does not qualify for use of a NWP)
10. Does the activity comply with all of the NWP General Conditions?
Yes
11. If the proposed activity involves temporary structures, fills and/or work, including temporary mats, will the temporary structures, fill and/or work, including temporary mats, be in place for a period of more than 90 days per temporary impact area and/or phase of the overall project?
N/A Yes* (A PCN is required and time extension is required from the District Engineer
12. If the proposed activity involves temporary structures, fills and/or work, including temporary mats, will the temporary structures, fill and/or work, including temporary mats, be in place for a period of more than 180 days per temporary impact area and/or phase of the overall project?
☐ N/A ☐ Yes (Activity does not qualify for use of a NWP)

8. Will the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States,

13. If the proposed activity requires a PCN and involves temporary structures, fills, and/or work, including the use of temporary mats, does the PCN include a written description and/or drawings of the proposed temporary activities that will be used during project construction?										
☐ N/A Yes ☐ No (Incomplete PCN)										
14. For NWP 3, paragraph (a) and (c) activities, will the proposed discharge of dredged or fill material cause the loss of greater than 1/10-acre of waters of the United States OR is the proposed discharge of dredged or fill material located within a special aquatic site, which includes but is not limited to, wetlands, mudflats, vegetated shallows, riffle and pool complexes, sanctuaries, and refuges?										
☐ Yes* (PCN required) No										
15. For NWP 3, paragraph (a) activities, does the proposed activity involve the repair, rehabilitation or replacement of existing utility lines constructed over navigable waters of the United States and existing utility lines routed in or under navigable waters of the United States, even if no discharge of dredged or fill material occurs?										
☐ Yes* (PCN required) No										
16. For NWP 3, paragraph (b) activities, does the proposed activity involve the excavation of accumulated sediment or other material in the immediate vicinity of private or commercial dock facilities, piers, canals for boating access, marina, boatslips, etc.?										
Yes (Activity does not qualify for NWP 3)										
II. Nationwide Permit 3 paragraph (a) (Complete #1-8 of this section II if paragraph (a) applies to the proposed activity)										
N/A -Skip to Sections III, IV and/or V as appropriate.										
1. Is the proposed activity for the repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of any previously authorized, currently serviceable structure or fill, or of any currently serviceable structure or fill authorized by 33 CFR 330.3?										
Yes No (Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (a))										

2. Will the structure or fill be put to uses different from those specified or contemplated in the original permit or the most recently authorized modification?										
Yes (Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (a))										
3. Are any deviations in the structure's configuration or filled area, including those due to changes in materials, construction techniques, requirements of other regulatory agencies, or current construction codes or safety standards that are necessary to make the repair, rehabilitation, or replacement that occur with the project considered minor?										
□ N/A	Yes	☐ No (Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (a))								
4. Does the proposed activity involve t fills?	he removal	of previously authorized structures or								
X(Y	es] No								
5. For any stream modifications that ar the minimum necessary for the repair, r fill AND are the modifications, includin channel, located immediately adjacent to structure or fill?	ehabilitation ng the remov	n, or replacement of the structure or wal of material from the stream								
□ N/A	Yes	No (Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (a))								
6. Does the proposed activity involve to within, and in the immediate vicinity of										
Ye	Yes No									
7. If the proposed activity involves the repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of structures or fills that were destroyed or damaged by storms, floods, fire or other discrete events, has the work commenced or is under contract to commence within two years of the date of their destruction or damage?										
□ N/A	Yes	□ No								

٠,

8. If the proposed activity involves the repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of structures or fills that were destroyed or damaged by storms, floods, fire or other discrete events, that are considered catastrophic events, such as hurricanes or tornadoes, and the work cannot commence or be under contract to commence, within two years of the date of their destruction, has the permittee demonstrated funding, contract, or other similar delays AND has the District Engineer waived the two-year limit?										
	□ N/A	Yes	No (Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (a))							
III. Nationwide Permit 3 (b) (Complete #1- 4 of this section III if paragraph (b) applies to proposed activity) NOTE: All Nationwide Permit 3 (b) activities require a Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) N/A -Skip to Section IV or V as appropriate										
1. Does the proposed activity involve the removal of accumulated sediments and debris outside the immediate of existing structures (e.g. bridges, culverted road crossings, water intake structures, etc.)										
	☐ Yes	☐ No								
2 Is the removal of sediment limited to the minimum necessary to restore the waterway in the vicinity of the structure to the approximate dimensions that existed when the structure was built AND does the removal activities extend 200 feet or less in any direction from the structure?										
	□ N/A □	Yes _	No (Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (b))							
sediments that are blo activity involve the n	ocking or restricting on aintenance dredging	outfall and inta	or removal of accumulated ke structures OR does the faccumulated sediments (The 200-foot limit does not							
	☐ Yes	☐ No								

1.0

4. Will all dredged or excavate waters of the United States?	ed material be	deposited and retained in an area that has no
	Yes	□ No
5. If the dredged or excavated waters of the United States, has Engineers been issued?	material will	be deposited and retained in an area that has athorization approved by the District
Engineers been issued.	☐ Yes	☐ No (Incomplete PCN)
6. Does the PCN include informations of the outfalls, i		ng the original design capacities and impoundments and canals?
	Yes	☐ No (Incomplete PCN)
IV. Nationwide Permit 3 (c) N/A Skip to Section V as a		- 4 if paragraph (c) applies to project)
Does the proposed activity is temporary mats, necessary to constant to the proposed activity is temporary mats.		rary structures, fills, and work, including aintenance activity?
☐ Yes		(Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (c))
maximum extent practicable, w	hen the temp	maintain normal downstream flooding to the orary structures, work, and discharges, truction activities, access fills, or dewatering
☐ Yes	□ No	(Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (c))
3. Do the temporary fills consi will not be eroded by expected		s, and will they be placed in a manner, that
☐ Yes	□N	o (Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (c))

4. Will the temporary fills be removed in their entirety, the affected areas returned to proper construction elevations, and the affected areas revegetated as appropriate?
Yes No (Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3 (c))
V. Nationwide Permit 3 (d)
1. Does the proposed activity involve maintenance dredging for the primary purpose of navigation, beach nourishment, stream channelization OR stream relocation projects?
Yes (Activity does not qualify for use of NWP 3)
Checklist Completed By: Checklist Checklist Completed By: Checklist Ch
Date: //// 2018



10/30/2018

Watershed and Water Quality Information

Genaral Information

Applicant Name:

Permit Type: MS4

Latitude: 34.6588

Longitude: -79.7289

MS4 Designation: Not in designated area

Waterbody Name: HERNDON BRANCH

Monitoring Station: PD-015

Within Coastal Critical Area: NO

Water Classification (Provisional): FW

Entered Waterbody Name:

Parameter Descriptions

NH3N	Ammonia		FC	Fecal Coliform
CR	Chromium	l l	FCB	Fecal Coliform (Shellfish)
cu	Copper		вю	Macroinvertebrates (Bio)
HG	Mercury		TP	(Lakes) Phosphorus
NI	Nickel		TN	(Lakes) Nitrogen
PB	Lead	 	CHLA	(Lakes) Chlorophyll a
ZN	Zinc	 	ENTERO	(Beach) Enterococcus
DO	Dissolved Oxygen	<u> </u>	HGF	Mercury (Fish)
PH	pН		PCB	PCB (Fish)

Impaired	Impaired Status (downstream sites)																		
Station	NH3N	CR	CU	HG	NI	РВ	ZN	DO	PH	TURBIDITY	ECOLI	FCB	ВЮ	TP	TN	CHLA	ENTERO	HGF	РСВ
PD-015	F	F	F	F	F	х	F	F	F	F	F	Α	х	х	Х	х	х	N ·	Х

F = Standards Fully Supported N = Standards Not Supported

A = Assessed at Upstream Station

X = Parameter Not Assessed at Station

T = Within TMDL Approved Watershed

Parameters to be addressed (those not supporting standards)

Fish Consumption Advisory

HGF

TMDL Information - TMDL Parameters to be addressed

In TMDL Watershed: No

TMDL Site:

TMDL Report No:

TMDL Parameter:

TMDL Document Link:

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD **OTHER AREAS** MAP PANELS এজ্জীত The National Map: Orthoimagery, Data refreshed October 2017, **CITY OF BENNET TSVILLE** AREAGFIMINIMALIFICODO HAZARD 45069 CO 165 C eff. 6/16/2011 MARREBORO GOUNITY 450146

Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE)

With BFE or Depth, Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR Regulatory Floodway SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas depth less than one foot or with drainage of 1% annual chance flood with average areas of less than one square mile Zone X

Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone

Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone

No screen Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X **Effective LOMRs**

Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D

Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer GENERAL | ---- Channel, Culver,, or Storr STRUCTURES | 1111111 Levee, Dike, or Floodwall

Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation 17.5

Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE) Coastal Transect

Limit of Study

Jurisdiction Boundary

Coastal Transect Baseline Profile Baseline

> OTHER FEATURES

Hydrographic Feature

Digital Data Available

No Digital Data Available Unmapped

point selected by the user and does not represent The pin displayed on the map is an approximate an authoritative property location.

This map compiles with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not vold as described below. The basemap shown compiles with FEMA's basemap

authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and was exported on 11/9/2018 at 10:03:38 AM and does not time. The NFHL and effective information may change or The flood hazard information is derived directly from the become superseded by new data over time. This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers,

250

S-400 NWI Map



November 13, 2018

Wetlands

Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

- Freshwater Emergent Wetland
- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
- Freshwater Pond
- Lake

Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

- Other
- Riverine

11.9	DETA	AILED DAMAGE INSPE	ECTIO	N REPOR	т	Report Number 35-039	9-NFA-T19
of T Fed	ransportation leral Highway Iministration	(Title 23, Federal-aid H	lighway	s)		Sheet 1	of 1
Loc	cation (Name of Road and Milepost) 34° 39.525' N / -79° 43.735' W					FHWA Disaster N	lumber SC2018-2
	evel Green Church Road, S-400 P 0.4)				Inspection Date	9/25/2018
	scription of Damage:					Federal-aid Route	Number
	idge end embankment washout TUDY REQUIRED. Replace wit		15ft singl	e span bridge	e. HYDRO		S-400
						State SC	County Marlboro
		Cos	st Estim	nate		•	
	Description o (Equipment, Lab	of Work to Date por, and Materials)	Unit	Unit Price	Quantity	Completed	Cost Remaining
	Removal of the existing bridge	<u>`</u>	LS			Completed	rternaming
	Unclassified Excavation		CY				
	Bridge Replacement		sf				
	Mobilization (per site)		EA				
air	Traffic Control (Road Already Close	ed)	EA				
Emergency Repair		<u> </u>					
cy							
gen							
mer							
Ξ.							
	NA (1 - 1						
	Method				Subtotal PE/CE		
	Local Forces	State Forces	Contract		J	D : T (
			1 1		<u> </u>	mergency Repair Tota	
_							
atior							
stora							
Res							
ent							
Jane							
Permanent Restoration							
Ъ						0.14.4	T
	Method					Subtotal PE/CE	
	Local Forces	State Forces	✓ Cor	ntract		Right-of-Way	
						Perm. Repair Totals	
Env	! vironmental Assessment Recommend	dation				T Cim. Repair Totale	
-11/	Categorical Exclusion	EA/EIS				Estimated Tatal	
Red	commendation		FHWA Er	ngineer		Estimated Total	Date
	Eligible	✓ Ineligible		J 21			
Cor	ncurrence Yes	No	State Eng	ineer	Jelos	an	Date 9/25/2018
Cor	ncurrence Yes	No	Local Age	ncy Representa	tive		Date