

Early Coordination Request for Information Topic	SCDOT Responses (subject to change)
Current Risk Mitigation Strategies	
Environmental permitting	Re-evaluation does not appear to be required at this time. Any changes to the Basic Configuration and Schematic Design may require a re-evaluation be generated and submitted by Contractor after NTP.
Utility management	SCDOT is examining whether changing relief regime in RFP for utility delay costs is beneficial.
Railroad coordination	SCDOT is examining increasing the amount that SCDOT would be responsible for regarding railroad flagging and design reviews with team responsible for the balance to complete.
Other Procurement-related information / topics	
SOQ scoring	COMPLETE—SCDOT does not intend to include SOQ score in the weighted criteria formula and will strengthen RFQ criteria.
Stipends	SCDOT is examining stipend determination with consideration of the magnitude of pursuit investment by teams and the high complexity of the project.
DBE committal requirements	COMPLETE—SCDOT’s MSBA Office has confirmed 12.1% with 0.2% of that as Professional Services as the appropriate DBE requirements.
DB Agreement and RFP	SCDOT will continue the re-write of the DB agreement template and intends to use that template in the Phase 3 RFP.
Innovation and added value, Quality Credits, and committals matrix	SCDOT is considering using a Quality Credit Scoring Matrix that includes asking for cost and time benefits to SCDOT and is also considering identifying “up to” point values for specific innovative and added value items that are important to SCDOT. Additional considerations are being considered on the transparency of Quality Credit scoring.

Early Coordination Request for Information Topic	SCDOT Responses (subject to change)
Risk Allocation Opportunities	
ATC process for IMRs	SCDOT is considering a risk sharing and capping regime for schedule risk for third-party delays in the IMR review process that may be used during procurement.
Performance-based criteria	<p>SCDOT is considering performance based criteria for selected bridge elements.</p> <p>SCDOT is considering omitting some bridge replacements from the scope of work to allow for rehabilitation if design and bridge condition show benefit.</p>
Price adjustment clause provisions	SCDOT is considering price adjustment provisions for selected major commodities.
Utility coordination – SCDOT liaison	COMPLETE—No SCDOT utility coordination liaison is intended to be included in RFP.
Risk register meetings vs. Scope validation period	<p>Scope validation is still being considered, but will most likely not be used.</p> <p>SCDOT is investigating the use of risk register meetings.</p>
Any additional comments	
Examine securing NOI for all of Phase 3 before NTP as a pre-NTP activity by SCDOT	SCDOT is advancing plans for securing the NOI for Phase 3 clearing and grubbing starting in July 2022. This strategy is intended to be advanced unless the development process yields less than beneficial results.
Request to relax conditions for consultant or sub conflicts	COMPLETE—This item is not intended to be changed, and no action is required.
Bonding amount	COMPLETE—SCDOT confirms that no change in bonding percentage is allowable under state law.
Pre-determined overhead amounts	COMPLETE—This item is not intended to be implemented.

Early Coordination Request for Information Topic	SCDOT Responses (subject to change)
GBLR and PUR reliance	<p>COMPLETE—SCDOT intends to allow the teams to rely on the field and lab data, but not any engineering recommendations.</p> <p>SCDOT is considering whether to allow teams to rely on PUR and SUE data.</p>
Allowance accounts	COMPLETE—This item is not intended to be implemented.
Early posting of PIP docs	SCDOT intends to post all PIP documents as soon as they become available.
Dispute Review Board	COMPLETE—Project specific DRB is intended to be part of new Phase 3 agreement.
Partial submittals	SCDOT is drafting new language and intends to integrate this language for partial submittals into new agreement.
Cost saving initiatives	SCDOT is considering adding language in the new agreement for price value credits.
RFP scoring	COMPLETE—Claims history is not intended to be part of RFP scoring, but will continue to be part of the SOQ scoring.
OVTIP plan	COMPLETE—No change—SCDOT intends to continue the use of OVTIP.
Commercial terms negotiation	COMPLETE—SCDOT intends to not change commercial terms via ATC process.

DISCLAIMER

SCDOT provides information to the public under the following terms and conditions:

This document with responses to one-on-one meeting topics is intended to provide guidance and information only. It is not legally binding and is not to be relied upon by any party in place of the final language of the actual contract. The materials and information in this document have been prepared by SCDOT to advise the public—specifically possible future proposers within the construction industry—of provisions under consideration for inclusion in contracts to govern future project. Various alternative provisions are still under consideration for either inclusion, deletion or alteration. Some of the information contained in this document may be dated and may not reflect the most current contractual provisions.

SCDOT specifically disclaims the accuracy and completeness of the information in this document with responses to one-on-one meeting topics from whatever source it is derived or compiled. SCDOT makes no representations, covenants, warranties or guarantees, either express or implied, to any proposer regarding the accuracy and completeness of the information contained within this document. SCDOT has published this document to give possible proposers preliminary information to enable it to make informed business decisions. This document is expressly preliminary, and it is not intended to be a complete contract. The information contained within this document represents SCDOT's best guess as of the current time to the possible contractual provisions that will be included in the final contract. SCDOT does not intend for—and specifically warns against—any proposer to rely on the comprehensiveness of the information contained herein. This document with responses to one-on-one meeting topics shall not be used as a substitute for reading or relieve any party of the duty to read the final contract.

The information contained in this document with responses to one-on-one meeting topics is of a character that is unreasonable to use for technical, engineering, or scientific decisions relating to, necessary to make, or contained within a response to any future Request for Proposals. It is the responsibility of any proposer to conduct an independent investigation of the facts and circumstances necessary to submit a proposal. Any proposer that relies on the information contained within this document does so at its own risk, and the proposer acknowledges that there is a substantial possibility of revisions to language presented herein. Proposers specifically and intentionally waive reliance upon the thoroughness and comprehensiveness of the document, and shall hold harmless SCDOT, its officers, employees, consultants, agents, heirs, and assigns.