

Load Rating QC Review Checklist

Version: 1.0 Page 1 of 1

SECTION 1: GENERAL BRIDGE DATA (8) Asset ID: (2) District: (3) County: (7) Facility Carried: (6) Feature Crossed: (58, 59, 60 or 62) Lowest of Deck, (92A) Fracture Superstructure, Substructure or Culvert NBI Critical? (113) Scour Critical? Condition: (104) On NHS? Complex Bridge? (27) Year Built: SECTION 2: LOAD RATING QC REVIEW CHECKLIST For each item in this section, list the QC comments, and describe the process by which these comments were resolved. If there were no QC comments associated with the item, the space may be left blank. The box should only be checked after all QC comments are addressed. If more space is needed to document the process, attach additional sheets to this form. For Items 1-4, comments may be provided by the individual performing the independent detailed load rating check, which may include the EOR. If comments are provided by an individual other than the independent QC Engineer (for example, during the formal check process), that individual shall provide his or her initials and date of the comments. 1. A formal check of the load rating was completed. 2. The assumptions used for the load rating were valid. 3. Structural deterioration (if applicable) was accounted for in the load rating. 4. If BrR was not used, hand calculations to verify software were provided as required and formally checked. \square 5. The Load Rating Summary Form (LRSF) was completed entirely and correctly. \square 6. The LRSF agrees with the results of the load rating/load rating check. \square 7. BMO Approval was provided, if needed. \square 8. Bridge Signing/Posting Form was filled out correctly, if needed. \square 9. The "Quality Control Engineer" box on the LRSF was completed. \square

QUALITY CONTROL ENGINEER

I certify that Quality Control has been performed per the requirements of the LRGD.

Name

Company/Title