NOTICE TO PROPOSERS
November 12, 2014

NOTICE TO PROPOSERS - Enclosed is Addendum #4 to the Request for Proposals (RFP) package for the Replacement of the US 701 Bridge Replacements over Yauhannah Lake, Great Pee Dee River, & Great Pee Dee Overflow. The information provided in this notice and the addendum shall be made part of the contract documents.

The yellow highlights identify the changes from the Industry Review RFP to the Final RFP. The green highlights identify the changes associated with Addendum #1. The blue highlights identify the changes associated with Addendum #2. The purple highlights identify the changes associated with Addendum #3. The red highlights identify changes associated with Addendum #4.

This addendum is being issued in order to provide clarification and additional information for the project and includes the following documents:

- NOTICE TO PROPOSERS
- NOTICE OF RECEIPT
- Pages to be inserted into Request for Proposals

The following pages should be inserted into previously provided copies of the RFP and the old page of the same number removed and disregarded. A summary of the pages included follows:

Request for Proposals
Page 14 of 27
NOTICE OF RECEIPT
ADDENDUM #4

The information in this addendum shall be made part of the contract documents. PROPOSERS are instructed to incorporate the information into the previously provided RFP documents.

PROPOSERS are required to sign this document and enclose it with their Technical Proposal and/or Statement of Intent. Signed receipt of this document by The South Carolina Department of Transportation serves as confirmation that the PROPOSER has received and incorporated this addendum into the contract documents.

Confirmation Statement:

I, the PROPOSER confirm that I have received this addendum package and have incorporated the information provided in the addendum into the contract documents.

_____________________________________              __________________________________
PROPOSER’s Signature                   Date

_____________________________________
Printed Name

For:__________________________________
____________________________
Design Build Team Name
3. Conceptual Maintenance of Traffic Plans depicting the number of construction stages and a staging narrative to include duration of each stage.

PROPOSERS must provide responses to the items below in order to provide SCDOT a general overview of the PROPOSER’S approach to the Project. The conceptual plans required above and the technical proposal narrative will be utilized to evaluate the responses below. The 100 total quality credit points available will be based on the commitments in the responses to the items below:

1. Describe the approach and team commitment in design, construction, and agency coordination to minimize impacts to the environmental resources. Quantify and describe all environmental impacts associated with the approach and project delivery. Items to consider:
   i. Availability of boat ramp during construction and final location of facility
   ii. Impact to Waccamaw National Wildlife Refuge
   iii. Avoidance and Minimization of wetland impacts
   iv. Minimization of right of way impacts
   v. Minimization of impacts to traffic

   Quantify and describe all environmental impacts associated with the approach and project delivery. (60 points 50 points 20 points)

2. Describe the team’s approach in design and construction to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands. As shown in the NEPA document, 9.487 acres of permanent impacts and 11.07 acres of temporary impacts are anticipated for the preferred alternative. For only the purpose of calculating these quality points, temporary impacts will be defined as any impact areas that are returned or restored to their preconstruction state at the end of construction. For every acre of temporary impact the team commits to avoid reduce below 11.07 acres, a credit of 2.5 points will be assigned. For every acre of permanent impact the team commits to avoid reduce below 9.487 acres, a credit of 3.5 points will be assigned. If temporary or permanent impacts exceed those stated in the NEPA document, points may be assigned at SCDOT’s discretion. The maximum points assigned will be 30 points. (30 points)

3. Identify commitments of materials, designs, and construction methods that would minimize maintenance costs in the future to the SCDOT and benefit the project. Potential commitments could involve reducing the use of steel bridge components, installing low maintenance drainage components and expansion joints, installing longer-life pavement, etc. (20 points 15 points)

4. Describe any additional improvements or tasks that will be included in the Project including but not limited to additional warranties, enhancements, and assumed Project risk. Potential items could include landscaping, extension of roadway items such as resurfacing on mainline and/or side roads, installation/replacement of signage, enhancement of Refuge trails and boat ramp access area, increased public involvement, etc. (10 points)

5. Describe the team’s commitment to minimizing impacts to existing bridges and embankments traffic. Potential considerations include construction methods, degree of which existing bridge will be used, limiting vibration producing activities lane/nighttime closures, etc. (10 points 25 points)

All Project commitments above and beyond the requirements of the RFP, supported in the technical narrative, shall be summarized in a matrix in a clear and concise manner and shall become part of the contract.

Note: Drawings and plans requested as part of the Technical Proposal shall not count against the specified page limit and can be included in the Appendix.

Addendum #4