I-26 Widening MM 85-101

Richland, Lexington, and Newberry Counties
Disclaimer

All information provided today is for information only, non-binding, does not constitute legal or other advice, and does not amend or form part of the Final Request for Proposals (RFP).
Purpose

Provide information

Get feedback and answer questions.
Agenda

01 Project Overview and NEPA Status

02 Project Schedule

03 Project Considerations

04 Next Steps
Overview and NEPA Status
7 Overpass Bridge Replacements

57.5k AADT (Between Exit 101 and Exit 97)

3 Interchange Replacements

40 Interstate Miles (mainline lane miles - not including shoulders)
Potential Adjacent Projects

01 Carolina Crossroads

02 S-48 Columbia Avenue (Lexington County)

03 US 176 Broad River Road Widening (Richland County)

04 I-26 Reconstruction Mile Marker 75-85
NEPA – Environmental Assessment (EA)

- CMP Process
- EA is currently under review by FHWA
- Public Hearing in Early March
- Public Comment Period prior to RFQ Advertisement
NEPA – Major Project Status

- Project Management Plan
- Financial Plan
- Cost and Schedule Risk Assessment (including workshop and Monte Carlo Simulation)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EA Signed, Public Hearing, RFQ Advertised</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announcement of Short Listed Teams</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft RFP</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final RFP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Proposal Due</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Proposals Due/ Public Announcement</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award of Contract</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Considerations
(Open Forum)
Project Considerations

Award Criteria

Considering:
- Weighted Criteria
- Fixed Price
Project Considerations

ATCs and Stipends

Considering:
- ATCs
- Stipends for unsuccessful short listed teams
Project Considerations

Design Submittal Reviews

Considering:
• Segmentation within the project limits
• No partial submittals
Project Considerations

Environmental Mitigation/Permitting

- Project will require USACE Individual Permit
- PRM will be the most likely strategy for mitigation (advance scouting?)
- SCDOT plans to advance Hunting Creek mitigation bank, but it may not be available in time for use on the project
Project Considerations

Quality Assurance Program

Considering:

- Proposer QC Plan evaluated during procurement
- QA consistent with previous DB projects
Project Considerations

Surveys
ROW Acquisition will be the responsibility of the Design-Build Team.
Project Considerations
Project Considerations

Pavement
Project Considerations

Maintenance of Traffic
Next Steps