





Agenda SCDOT/ACEC/AGC Design-Build Sub-Committee Meeting 11-18-20 @ 9:00 am

I. <u>Welcome/Introductions</u>

- Chris Gaskins
- Austin Purgason
- Kevin Harrington
- Will McGoldrick
- David Hebert
- Josh Quattlebaum
- John Caver
- Barbara Wessinger
- Lee Bradley
- Robert J Heibel Jr.
- Pete Weber
- Rob Loar
- Elham Farzam
- Jim O'Connor
- Paul Raad
- Erin Slayton

II. <u>Project Updates</u>

<u>SCDOT</u>

- I-77 Panther Interchange in York County In procurement, currently evaluating SOQs, announcing short-listed teams on 9/23/20, RFP for IR to be issues on 9/24/20.
- Carolina Crossroads Phase 1 In procurement, currently evaluating Preliminary ATCs, Bid Opening 3/8/21.
- Carolina Crossroads Phase 2 In procurement, currently evaluating SOQs, announcement of short-listed teams on 9/28/20, RFP for IR to be issues on 10/2/20.
- Closed and Load Restricted Bridges 2021-1 –District 4 with 9 bridges. In project development. RFQ in 1st Quarter of 2021.
 - Two-phase procurement is anticipated
- Cross Island Parkway Toll Conversion Toll plaza removal, pavement strengthening, limited bridge rehab. Awaiting formal concurrence on delivery method and initiating project development in house. RFQ 1st or 2nd quarter of 2021.
 - $\circ \quad \text{In House Prep work is anticipated}$
 - Estimating \$15-\$20 Million
 - Fixed price and/or variable scope are on the table
- I-20 over Wateree, River and Overflow Bridges Initiating on-call task order to evaluate rehab versus replacement. RFQ in 2021 or 2022 depending on project type.







- Carolina Crossroads Phase 3 RFQ in 2022
- Mark Clark Expressway Continuing development of Supplemental EIS. RFQ in 2023
- I-26/I-95 Interchange Improvements Awaiting PE funding, possibly in place late spring/early summer 2021
- Low Country Corridor West and I-26/I-526 Interchange ROD is expected in 2022 and RFQ could move to 2027.
 - Phases are being evaluated for procurement type
- Low Country Corridor East Currently in project development and NEPA. Procurement timeframe TBD.
- III. Action Items from 09-16-20
 - A. SCDOT to incorporate check writing comments into the ROW Acquisition Language.
 Still in progress
 - B. SCDOT to revise the entire ROW section in the agreement. (OPEN) $_{\odot}$ $\,$ Still in progress
 - C. SCDOT to continue to review comments on Design Optimization language in RFP. (OPEN)
 o Language adjustment is still being reviewed.
 - D. SCDOT to schedule an independent meeting with AGC to discuss field overhead rates.
 - Language is going into current proposals with addenda, and includes specific language on overhead, and will specify changes that are allowed, for both time or non-time related.
 - No additional concerns have been raised.
 - Clay and Chris to follow up with Dave.(CLOSED)
 - E. SCDOT to review "Recommended Changes to SCDOT Weather Spec" and discuss and next meeting with Bruce Wells present.
 - Construction is reviewing this Spec
 - Paradigm shift in reviews of weather time
 - Proposed language discussed adds weather calendars that reflect temp restrictions and rainfall events
 - Currently discussing what language will determine what a weather day is
 - Decision has not been made, but discussion is around using NOAA weather data in the calendar(CLOSED)
 - Average temp. data is concerning considering rising temperatures over recent years, source should still be NOAA
 - Weather restrictions can be volatile, could make asphalt the critical path item. 10 days on asphalt could delay the project 6 months.
 - Possibility to make weather charts official for each region of SC
 - Consistency of data is still a concern.
 - Resolution will be considered in a spec update
 - F. SCDOT to internally discuss DBE findings and report back to Subcommittee a position. (OPEN)
 - DBE office has received all relevant documents, SCDOT will report back when DBE has







ACEC

reviewed the findings.

IV. <u>Revisions to the Preliminary ATC Form</u>

 Requested Preliminary ATC Form to provide flexibility and space for justification and benefits

- 2 pages, 1 attachment
- Restructuring of sections to remove white space on the form
- Teams are running out of room to justify their ATCs
- Each box is requested to be expandable
- SCDOT is not opposed to this request, will discuss internally
- V. <u>Evaluation of Procurement Time from ATC Determination to Cost Proposal Due</u> <u>ACEC</u>
 - 6 weeks is not adequate time between ATC approval and technical proposal submittal, requesting 8 weeks.
 - Compression of time between interview and bid opening is suggested
 - 2 extra weeks will make cost proposal more accurate, so time lost for cost development will be a fair trade off.
 - Also requesting more flexibility around holidays
 - Complexity of specific procurements is more of a concern than simultaneous procurements.
 - ATCs may affect design, especially in interchange type changes. Final determination may change design, and 8 weeks would make it easier on the teams.
 - SCDOT will discuss internally, is interested in getting best technical proposals possible within a reasonable time.

VI. Insurance and Bonding Review

- SCDOT had a review of Insurance and Bonding language on all contracts
- Have developed new section (section 6) for DB agreement to clarify intent
- Format change, requires same forms, but some new information has been added
- Includes: Pollution liability, professional liability, aircraft liability, railroad protective liability
- General Provisions have been added, expands on original language
- Bonding provision is essentially the same as before.
- Will be dispersed to AGC/ACEC for review, timeframe to be determined.

VII. <u>Website Posting of POC Information during SOQ Process</u>

- Suggest looking at NCDOT process
- Goal is to have the information about POC on website
- As much access as can be provided will benefit everyone
- Will be done on submitters list
- At what point are subs planning on contacting?

VIII. <u>Reference Process</u>

SCDOT

SCDOT







- Want to place document under design-build resources
- Give References an idea of what they will see when they are listed
- Intent is for potential references to look at this before they receive the information.
- Having this document will serve as a tool to make sure their references are prepared to answer.
- The document will also benefit SCDOT in getting more quality reference responses.
- Request to provide a screenshot of the email received, as well as the specific email that the survey will be sent from.

IX. Open Discussion

• No open discussion Items

X. <u>Action Items</u>

- SCDOT to incorporate check writing comments into the ROW Acquisition Language.
- SCDOT to revise the entire ROW section in the agreement.
- SCDOT to continue to review comments on Design Optimization language in RFP.
- SCDOT to internally discuss DBE findings and report back to Subcommittee a position.
- Revise Preliminary ATC Form
- Revise Procurement Time from ATC to cost proposal
- Insurance and Bonding distribution to group.
- XI. Next Meeting Date January 20, 2021, 9:00 AM (AGC Lead)
- XII. <u>Adjourn</u>